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ABSTRACT
This study aims to determine the effect of liquidity, solvency, activity, profitability and market with Current Ratio (CR), Debt to Equity Ratio (DER), Total Assets Turnover (TATO), Return on Equity (ROE), and Earnings per Share (EPS), as indicators, of the pharmaceutical company listed in Indonesia Stock Exchange during the period 2012-2016 to stock price. The type of research is explanatory survey verification and research technique used is inferential statistic. In addition the analytical method used in this research is regression analysis of panel data, namely, t test, F test, and classical assumption of normality, multicollinearity, heteroscedasticity and autocorrelation tests with E-Views 9. The results showed that in partial EPS significantly effects stock price, while CR, ROE, DER, and TATO had not significantly effect the stock price. Adjusted R square value was 0,5040 which showed that CR, DER, TATO, ROE, and EPS influenced the dependent variable by 50,40%, while the remaining 49,6% was influenced by other variables. It can be concluded that in sub sector pharmaceutical, investors were more concerned about the company’s EPS instead of other variables. On the other hand, investors simultaneously concerned the CR, DER, TATO, ROE, and EPS in their investment decision and also other variables which were not included in this research.

Keywords: Current Ratio, Debt to Equity Ratio, Total Assets Turnover, Return on Equity, Earning Per Share, Stock Price

PRELIMINARY
The Indonesian economy is seen to continue to grow. World Bank (World Bank) also projected economic growth in Indonesia in 2017 is 5.2%, bigger than last year at 5.02%. Economic development is one of them is supported by the development of various sectors in Indonesia (detikfinance.com).

The Goods and Consumer Industry sector managed to become the strongest stock market index in early 2017. The Indonesia Stock Exchange (BEI) noted that the Goods and Consumer Sector Index rose up to 3.02%, while the strengthening of the Goods and Consumption Sector was influenced by market participants by buying shares in various Sub Sector of Industrial Goods and Consumption one of them is Sub Sector Pharmacy (cnnindoneisa.com).

Sub Sector Pharmacy is one of the industries that play an important role in health sector as a provider of drugs needed by the community.

The performance of the Indonesian pharmaceutical industry has recorded significant growth. In terms of total domestic pharmaceutical market value recorded higher than Malaysia and Singapore. The existence of government regulations and health assistance projects from international institutions has also contributed significantly to the development of the pharmaceutical industry so that the Indonesian pharmaceutical industry grew to reach Rp.37 trillion (kemenperin.go.id).

In addition, Indonesia's pharmaceutical market growth is the fastest in Asia with an average growth of 20.6% per year since 2011-2016. Based on investment realization data
from the Investment Coordinating Board (BKPM) the Domestic Investment (PMDN) is dominated by the pharmaceutical industry, basic chemicals and chemical goods worth Rp12.9 trillion (mediaindonesia.com).

It shows how big market potential for big pharmaceutical sub sector companies in Indonesia is to encourage investors to invest in capital market in Pharmaceutical Sub-sector. And with the good development in Pharmaceutical Sub Sector in accordance with the above reporting, it should have a good impact also the assessment of the investors towards Pharmaceutical Sub Sector so that the stock price in Pharmaceutical Sub Sector companies can increase due to the many interest from the investors.

According to Haymans (2008, 95) "Stock prices can be assessed or analyzed by two approaches, namely technical and fundamental. In this study, to determine the true value of shares using fundamental analysis". Meanwhile, Shim & Siegel (2007, 202) stated that fundamental analysis evaluates a stock by analyzing the company's financial statements. Financial statement analysis provides you with much of the data you require to forecast earnings, dividends, and selling price.

Based on the theory, fundamental analysis evaluates the stock by analyzing the company's financial statements. Financial statement analysis provides much needed data to predict earnings, dividends, and selling prices. Fundamental analysis looks at the position of the company's financial statements relating to the company's financial performance.

According to Asmirantho (2013,270) financial performance is an achievement achieved by the company in a certain period to see how far a company has been implementing with the rules of financial implementation properly and correctly. This can be seen in the financial statements consisting of balance sheets, income statements, changes in capital and cash flow statements. According to Tracy (2012,6) “Financial ratio are divided into five types: liquidity, activity, debt, profitability, and market ratios”. Based on this theory, in this research the researcher use the 5 ratio is Liquidity Ratio as measured by Current Ratio, Solvability Ratio is measured by Debt To Equity Ratio, Activity Ratio with Total Assets Turn Over, Profitability Ratio with Return On Equity, and Ratio Value of The market which is measured using Earning Per Share. The first ratio is expected to affect the stock price of Current Ratio (CR) which is an indicator of the Liquidity Ratio.

According to Brigham & Houston (2010, 134) Current Ratio is calculated by dividing current assets with current liabilities, this ratio shows the extent to which current liabilities are covered by assets that are expected to be converted into cash in the near future. The higher the ratio, the greater the company's ability to pay bills. This may affect the interest of investors to invest in the company, consequently the company's stock price will increase. Therefore CR moves in the direction of stock prices.

The formula of Current Ratio (CR) is as follows:

\[
\text{Current Ratio} = \frac{\text{Current Assets}}{\text{Current Liabilities}}
\]

Heighter, Mowen, & Hansen (2008, 603)

The theory is supported by Bagharzadeh & Mahboobeh (2013), Reynard & Lana (2013) researches which stated that the Current Ratio partially have a positive and significant effect on stock prices. The research from Sajiyah (2016) states Current Ratio has a negative effect on stock prices. Meanwhile, according to Manopo & Jan (2017) partially Current Ratio has no effect and positive to stock price. The next ratio in this study is expected to affect the stock price of Debt To Equity Ratio (DER) which is an indicator of Solvency Ratio.

According to Van Horne & Wachowicz (2009, 209) "when the value of Debt To Equity Ratio is low then the higher the level of corporate funding provided by the shareholders and the greater the protection for creditors". While Khan & Jain (2012, 94) stated if the debt
to equity ratio is high, the owners are putting up relatively less money of their own. It is a danger signal for the creditor. If the project should fail financially, the creditors would lose heavily.

Based on the above theory, if the debt to equity ratio is high, the owners make relatively little money. This is a danger signal for creditors. On the contrary, when the low DER value will increase the positive response of the market and the better the company’s ability to pay long-term liabilities because the risks arising from the use of funding derived from debt will be reduced, thus affecting the increase in stock prices. Therefore, DER moves in opposite direction with stock prices.

The formula of Debt to Equity Ratio (DER) is as follows:

\[
\text{Debt to Equity Ratio} = \frac{\text{Total Liabilities}}{\text{Total Equity}}
\]

Godwin & Alderman (2010, 261)

The theory is supported by research by Asmirantho and Yuliawati (2015), Frendy, Tomy, and Mangantar (2015), Putu and Suaryana (2013) and Tan, Syarif and Ariza (2014) stating that DERs have a negative and significant effect on stock prices. And according to research of Murniati (2016) Debt to Equity Ratio have positive and significant effect to stock price. There are also Komala & Nugroho (2013), Sudarsono & Sudiyatno (2016) and Johannes & Arisandi (2013) studies which show that DER variable has no effect and positive on stock price.

The next ratio in this study expected to affect the stock price is Total Assets Turn Over (TATO) which is an indicator of Activity Ratio. According to Baginski, Wahlen, et al (2017, 230) Total Assets Turn Over captures how efficiently the firm utilizes assets to generate revenues. Higher revenues generated with a given level of assets indicates more efficient use of those assets.

Total asset turnover ratio measures how efficiently a company uses its assets to generate revenue. The more efficient use of all company assets to support sales activities, it will affect the increase in corporate income. So the higher the value of TATO will attract investors so that it causes a rise in stock prices. Therefore TATO moves in line with stock prices.

The formula of TATO is as follows:

\[
\text{Total Assets Turnover} = \frac{\text{Net Sales}}{\text{Total Asset}}
\]

Brigham & Houston (2010, 139)

The theory is supported by previous research from Adipalguna and Gede (2016) which states that TATO has a positive and significant impact on stock prices. While Hutapea, Saerang, and Tulung (2017) states that TATO has a negative effect on stock prices.

The next ratio in this study expected to affect the stock price is Return On Equity (ROE) which is an indicator of Profitability Ratio. According to Brigham and Houston (2010, 149) "The ratio of net income to ordinary equity is a ratio that measures the rate of return on ordinary shareholder investment."

According to McLean (2003,74) “The Return on Equity Ratio also measure the organization’s ability to pay a return to its equity holders and is defined as net income dividend by owners equity.”

Based on the above theory, Return on Equity measures the ability of firms to provide returns for shareholders and is defined as dividends in net income over equity holdings. When a company has a good ability to provide returns on stock ownership, it indirectly will make investors have more confidence in the company. This will affect investors to invest so that stocks are in great demand and the company’s stock price will increase. Therefore ROE moves in the direction of stock prices.

The formula of Return On Equity (ROE) is as follows:

\[
\text{Return On Equity} = \frac{\text{Earning After Tax}}{\text{Total Equity}}
\]

Brigham & Houston (2010, 150)
The theory is supported by previous research by Haque and Faruquee (2013), Murniati (2016), Tan, Syarif, and Ariza (2014), and Asnita (2013) which states that ROE has a positive and significant effect on stock prices. Meanwhile, according to research conducted by Komala & Nugroho (2013), Indrawati & Suprihadi (2016) states that ROE has a negative and significant effect on stock prices. And according to research by Talamati & Pangemanan (2015), and Artha, Sasongko, et al (2014) states that ROE has no effect and positive on stock prices.

The next ratio in this study is expected to affect the stock price of Earning Per Share (EPS) which is an indicator of the ratio of Market Value. According to Mehta (2016, 437) the performance and prospects of the company are affected by earning per share. If earning per share increases, there is a possibility that the company may pay more dividend or issues bonus share.

Based on the theory, the performance and prospect of the company is influenced by Earning Per Share. If the value of Earning Per Share increases, it is possible that the company can pay more dividends or issue bonus shares. With greater shareholder profits it will attract investors to invest so as to drive stock prices up. Therefore EPS moves in the direction of stock prices.

The formula of Earning Per Share (EPS) is as follows:

\[
\text{Earning Per Share} = \frac{\text{EAT (Earning After Tax)}}{\text{Number of Shares}}
\]

Brigham & Houston (2010, 150)

Therefore, the high EPS value will make the stock price higher because the better the company in the eyes of investors. The theory is supported by previous research of Kodithuwakku (2016), Wati (2015), Gupta (2016), and Prabath (2014) stating that EPS has a positive and significant effect on stock prices. Meanwhile, according to research of Artha, Sasongko, et al (2014) which shows that Earning Per Share have no effect and positive to stock price.

RESEARCH HYPOTHESES

Based on the above framework, the research tries to formulate the hypothesis as follows:

H1: Current Ratio (CR) has a positive effect on Stock Price.
H2: Debt to Equity Ratio (DER) has a negative effect on Stock Price.
H3: Total Assets Turnover (TATO) has a positive effect on Stock Price.
H4: Return On Equity (ROE) has a positive effect on Stock Price.
H5: Earning Per Share (EPS) has a positive effect on Stock Price.
H6: Current Ratio, Debt to Equity Ratio, Total Assets Turnover, Return on Equity, and Earning Per Share simultaneously affect the Stock Price.

OBJECT AND RESEARCH METHODS

Types of research

Type of research used in this research is verifikatif research with Explanatory Survey method. The objects in this study are the variables that serve as the theme or title of research. In this research, there are two variables that are independent variable (X variable), with indicators of Current Ratio (X1), Debt to Equity Ratio (X2), Total Assets Turnover (X3), Return on Equity (X4), Earning Per Share (X5). While the dependent variable (variable Y) in this study is Stock Price.

Unit of Analysis

In this study the unit of analysis used is a company that is Sub Sector Pharmaceutical (Industry Consumer Goods) listed in the Indonesia Stock Exchange.

Research sites

The location of the study is where the variables of the study are analyzed such as organization / company / institution or certain area. In this research, the research location is 8 companies from 10 companies of Pharmaceutical Sub-sector (Industry of Consumer Goods) listed on Indonesia Stock Exchange by taking data on the official website of Indonesia Stock Exchange.
Types and Sources of Research Data
The type of data studied is quantitative data, i.e. data on numbers, levels, comparisons, and volumes in the form of numbers. Sources of research data used is secondary data. In this study data sources obtained by researchers from the Indonesia Stock Exchange through the official website of Indonesia Stock Exchange: www.idx.co.id, duniainvestasi.com, and sahamok.com.

Sampling Method
In this research sampling method used is purposive sampling method that is done by choosing subject based on certain criteria. The criteria are adjusted to the problems studied, from 10 companies to produce 8 sample data of Pharmaceutical Sub sector (Industry of Goods and Consumption) listed in Indonesia Stock Exchange are as follows:
1) Pharmaceutical Sub-Sector Companies listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange,
2) Pharmaceutical Sub Sector Companies that have complete financial data in the period 2012-2016,
3) Pharmaceutical Sub-Sector Companies with an IPO of more than 5 years,
4) Pharmaceutical Sub-Sector Companies that did not experience delisting during the observation period,
5) Pharmaceutical Sub-Sector Companies that do not have negative equity totals during the observation period.

Panel Data Regression Test
In this research, the regression equation of panel data with 5 independent variables is as follows:

\[
Y_{it} = \alpha + \beta_1 X_{1it} + \beta_2 X_{2it} + \beta_3 X_{3it} + \beta_4 X_{4it} + \beta_5 X_{5it}
\]

Harga Saham = \alpha + \beta_1 CR + \beta_2 DER + \beta_3 TATO + \beta_4 ROE + \beta_5 EPS

Information :

\( Y_{it} \): Dependent variable / dependent (stock price)
\( \alpha \): The constant, ie the value of Yit if X1, X2, X3, X4, and X5 = 0
\( t \): The t period
\( X_1 \): Current Ratio (CR)
\( X_2 \): Debt to Equity Ratio (DER)
\( X_3 \): Total Assets Turnover (TATO)
\( X_4 \): Return On Equity (ROE)
\( X_5 \): Earning Per Share (EPS)

\( \beta_1 \) to \( \beta_5 \): Regression coefficient, that is value increase or decrease of variable of Yit based on variable X1, X2, X3, X4, and X5

RESEARCH RESULT
Descriptive Statistics of Research Variables
Based on Table 1, shows that the amount of data in this study is as many as 40 samples. Based on the calculation results for the period 2012-2016. The independent variables used in this research are CR (X1), DER (X2), TATO (X3), ROE (X4) and EPS (X5). And Independent Variables of Stock Price (Y). Of 40 stock price data, the lowest value (minimum) of 112 and the highest (maximum) of 413500. While the average (mean) of 54165 with the standard deviation 1133318.7.

In the variable Current Ratio (CR) shows the lowest (minimum) of 1.18 and the maximum (maximum) of 30.92. While the average (mean) of 3.87 with a standard deviation of 4.57. In the Debt to Equity Ratio (DER) variable the lowest (minimum) value is 0.0266 and the maximum (maximum) is 1.6057. While the average of 0.5112 with a standard deviation of 0.3584. In the Total Assets Turn Over (TATO) variable the lowest (minimum) value is 0.1448 and the highest (maximum) is 3.3730. While the average of 1.3797 with a standard deviation of 0.6297. On Return On Equity (ROE) the lowest value (minimum) of -0.0918 and the highest (maximum) of 1.1588. While the average of 0.2886 with a standard deviation of 0.3201. In Earning Per Share (EPS) the lowest value (minimum) of -17,500 and the maximum (maximum) of 16613.44. While the average of 2520.735 with a standard deviation of 5204.325.

**Panel Data Analysis Results**

To choose which model is best for this research, panel data estimation technique is performed. Panel data estimation technique used in this research is to choose between model of common effect, fixed effect or random effect. To determine the exact model between the common effect model and fixed effect is done by using Chow Test. If the probability value for the cross section F> 0.05 then the selected model is the common effect, but if the probability value for cross section F <0.05 then the selected model is fixed effect. Here are the Chow Test results:

**Table 2**

**Chow Test Results**

(Source: Processed by E-Views 9)
Based on table 2, it shows that the probability value for cross section F <0.05 is 0.000. So for Test Chow can be concluded that the selected model is fixed effect. Furthermore, to determine the exact model between random effect model or fixed effect is done by using Hausman Test. If the probability value for a random cross section> 0.05, then the selected model is a random effect. But if the probability value for random cross section <0.05, then the selected model is fixed effect. The following test results hausman:

Table 3
Hausman Test Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Equation: Untitled</th>
<th>Test Summary</th>
<th>Chi-Sq. Statistic</th>
<th>Chi-Sq. d.f.</th>
<th>Prob.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cross-section random effects</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.731063</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.4496</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Processed by E-Views 9)

Based on table 3, it shows that the probability value for cross section F> 0.05 is 0.4496. So for Hausman Test can be concluded that the selected model is random effect.

Furthermore, to determine the exact model between the model of common effect or random effect is done by using Lagrange Multiplier Test. If the probability value for the Breusch-Pagan cross section is> 0.05, then the selected model is the common effect. But if the probability value for the Breusch-Pagan cross section <0.05, then the selected model is a random effect. The following test results Lagrange Multiplier:

Table 4
Lagrange Multiplier Test Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Hypothesis</th>
<th>Cross-section</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Both</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Breusch-Pagan</td>
<td>24.61176</td>
<td>2.598673</td>
<td>27.21044</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Processed by E-Views 9)

Based on table 4, it shows that the probability value for the Breusch-Pagan cross section <0.05 is 0.0000. So it can be concluded that the model used is Random Effect.

Normality test

The normality test on the regression model is used to test whether the residual value generated from the regression is normally distributed or not. A good regression model is one that has a normally distributed residual value. The distribution is said to be normal if the Jarque-Bera value is not significant (smaller than two) and the probability value> 0.05. The results of normality test processing and Jarque-Bera numbers as follows:

Table 5
Jarque-Bera Test Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample 2012 2016</th>
<th>Observations 40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>-2.73e-15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>0.308696</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum</td>
<td>3.065370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum</td>
<td>-2.233433</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Std. Dev.</td>
<td>1.264788</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Skewness</td>
<td>-0.098168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kurtosis</td>
<td>2.611133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jarque-Bera</td>
<td>0.316276</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Probability</td>
<td>0.853732</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Processed by E-Views 9)
Based on table 5 it can be seen that the value of Jarque-Bera is smaller than two that is equal to 0.316276 and probability or probability value of 0.853732 where greater than 0.05. So it can be concluded that the regression model is normally distributed.

**Autocorrelation Test**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>R-squared</th>
<th>Weighted Statistics</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adjusted R-squared</td>
<td>Mean dependent var 1.752914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.E. of regression</td>
<td>S.D. dependent var 0.898009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-statistic</td>
<td>Sum squared resid 13.59836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prob(F-statistic)</td>
<td>Durbin-Watson stat 1.907304</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Processed by E-Views 9)

Based on table 6, it can be seen that the DW number shows the result of 1.907304. By looking at the DW table, with the number of variables 5 (k = 5) and the number of observations = 40 (n = 40) then obtained DU value of 1.7859. Thus, 4-DU = 2.2141. So DU < DW < 4-DU or 1.7859 < 1.907304 < 2.2141. because the DW value is between DU and 4-DU then it means no autocorrelation occurs.

**Heteroscedasticity Test**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>t-Statistic</th>
<th>Prob.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CR</td>
<td>-0.006009</td>
<td>0.017912</td>
<td>-0.355478</td>
<td>0.7393</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DER</td>
<td>0.217005</td>
<td>0.478390</td>
<td>0.453615</td>
<td>0.6530</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TATO</td>
<td>-0.086519</td>
<td>0.241363</td>
<td>-0.358462</td>
<td>0.7222</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROE</td>
<td>-0.294739</td>
<td>0.283033</td>
<td>-1.041357</td>
<td>0.3051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPS</td>
<td>3.87E-05</td>
<td>4.45E-05</td>
<td>0.868888</td>
<td>0.3910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1.030947</td>
<td>0.587513</td>
<td>1.754764</td>
<td>0.0883</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(Source: Processed by E-Views 9)

Based on table 7, it can be seen that the probability value for the five independent variables namely Current Ratio of 0.7393, Debt to Equity Ratio of 0.6530, Total Asset Turnover of 0.7222, Return On Equity of 0.305 and Earning Per Share of 0, 3910. Thus it can be concluded that there is no problem of heterokedastisitas on regression model.

**Multicolinearity Test**
Based on table 8, it can be seen that the value of correlation coefficient between independent variables i.e. CR and DER of -0.281305, CR and TATO of 0.166737, CR and ROE of 0.032532, CR and EPS of 0.130282, DER and TATO of -0.369958, DER and ROE of -0.248570, DER and EPS of -0.37663, TATO and ROE of 0.080083, TATO and EPS of 0.775659, ROE and EPS of 0.294779. So it can be concluded that the regression model does not occur multicollinearity problems because all values of correlation coefficient between independent variables worth less than 0.8.

Regression Result of Panel Data, T test, F test

Table 8
Multicollinearity Test Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>CR</th>
<th>DER</th>
<th>TATO</th>
<th>ROE</th>
<th>EPS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CR</td>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>-0.281305</td>
<td>0.166737</td>
<td>0.032532</td>
<td>0.130282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DER</td>
<td>-0.281305</td>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>-0.369956</td>
<td>-0.248570</td>
<td>-0.37663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TATO</td>
<td>0.166737</td>
<td>-0.369956</td>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>0.080083</td>
<td>0.775659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROE</td>
<td>0.032532</td>
<td>-0.248570</td>
<td>0.080083</td>
<td>1.000000</td>
<td>0.294779</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPS</td>
<td>0.130282</td>
<td>-0.37663</td>
<td>0.775659</td>
<td>0.294779</td>
<td>1.000000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(ro...tions) 1286.142188 0.369133

Table 9
Panel Data Regression Test Results

Dependent Variable: LOGHS
Method: Panel EGLS (Cross-section random effects)
Date: 02/12/18   Time: 18:22
Sample: 2012 2016
Periods included: 5
Cross-sections included: 8
Total panel (balanced) observations: 40
Swamy and Arora estimator of component variances

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>t-Statistic</th>
<th>Prob.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CR</td>
<td>0.023441</td>
<td>0.025196</td>
<td>0.930341</td>
<td>0.3588</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DER</td>
<td>0.543079</td>
<td>0.681887</td>
<td>0.396435</td>
<td>0.5431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TATO</td>
<td>-0.012021</td>
<td>0.341678</td>
<td>-0.035182</td>
<td>0.9721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ROE</td>
<td>0.419515</td>
<td>0.400064</td>
<td>1.048620</td>
<td>0.3018</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPS</td>
<td>0.000419</td>
<td>6.44E-05</td>
<td>6.501021</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>6.473012</td>
<td>0.850464</td>
<td>7.611150</td>
<td>0.0000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Effects Specification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>S.D.</th>
<th>Rho</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.264597</td>
<td>0.7987</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Swamy and Arora estimator of component variances

Weighted Statistics

| R-squared | 0.567625 | Mean dependent var | 1.752914 |
| Adjusted R-squared | 0.504041 | S.D. dependent var | 0.898009 |
| S.E. of regression | 0.632417 | Sum squared resid | 13.59836 |
| F-statistic | 8.927101 | Durbin-Watson stat | 1.907304 |
| Prob(F-statistic) | 0.000017 |

Unweighted Statistics

| R-squared | 0.743078 | Mean dependent var | 8.001114 |
| Sum squared resid | 62.38788 | Durbin-Watson stat | 0.384616 |

Table 10 describes the model summary of the panel data regression test results and the following interpretation of the results of the panel data regression model above. Constant value of 6.4730 means if the Current Ratio, Debt to Equity Ratio, Total Assets Turn Over, Return On Equity, and Earning Per Share value of 0, then the stock price increased by 6.4730.
The value of regression coefficient variable Current Ratio (CR) is positive value of 0.0234 means that each increase of Current Ratio of one unit, then the Share Price will increase by 0.0234 with the assumption of other independent variables fixed value.

The value of regression coefficient of Debt to Equity Ratio (DER) is positive that is equal to 0.5430 means every increase of Debt to Equity Ratio equal to one unit, hence share price will experience increase equal to 0.5430 assuming other independent variable value remain the same.

The value of regression coefficient of Total Assets Turn Over (TATO) is negative value that is equal to -0.0120 means that each increase of Total Assets Turn Over by one unit, then share price will decrease equal to -0.0120 assuming other independent variable is fixed value.

Value of regression coefficient of Return On Equity (ROE) is positive value that is equal to 0.4195 which means every increase in Return On Equity equal to one unit, hence share price will experience increase equal to 0.4195 with assumption other independent variable value remain.

The value of regression coefficient of Earning Per Share (EPS), positive value is 0.0004 means that every increase of Earning Per Share (EPS), equal to one unit, then share price will experience increase by 0.0004 with sumsi other independent variable fixed value.

The coefficient of determination (R Square) is 0.567625. This result shows that the variation of stock price can be explained by the value of Current Ratio (CR), Debt to Equity Ratio (DER), Total Asset Turn Over (TATO), Return on Equity (ROE), and Earning Per Share (EPS) of 56.7625%. While the rest of 43.2375% is explained by other variables not included in this model.

Adjusted R Square of 0.504041 shows contribution of variable influence of Current Ratio (CR), Debt to Equity Ratio (DER), Total Asset Turn Over (TATO), Return on Equity (ROE), and Earning Per Share (EPS) Hargasaham of 50.40%. Adjusted R Square is used because the variables in this study are more than one variable.

**t Test**

The t test is conducted to find out whether partially variable of Current Ratio (CR), Debt to Equity Ratio (DER), Total Assets Turnover (TATO), Return on Equity (ROE), and Earning Per Share (EPS) have influence significantly or not against stock price.

**a) Current Ratio (CR)**

Based on table 20, obtained the estimation result of Current Ratio with positive regression coefficient value equal to 0.023441 and t test probability value bigger than specified significance level that is 5% (0.3588 > 0.05). It shows that the Current Ratio (CR) does not affect the stock price of Pharmaceutical sub-companies listed on the BEI period 2012-2016. So it can be concluded that H1 is rejected.

**b) Debt to Equity Ratio (DER)**

Based on table 20 also, obtained the estimation results of Debt to Equity Ratio with positive regression coefficient value of 0.543079 and the probability value of t test is greater than the specified significant level of 5% (0.0413 > 0.05). It shows that Debt to Equity Ratio (DER) does not affect the stock price of Pharmaceutical sub-sector listed on BEI period 2012-2016. So it can be concluded that H2 is rejected.

**c) Total Assets Turnover (TATO)**

Total Assets Turnover found to have negative regression coefficient value which is -0.012021 and the probability value of t test is greater than the specified significance level that is 5% (0.9721 > 0.05). This shows that Total Assets Turnover (TATO) does not affect the stock price of Pharmaceutical sub-companies listed on the BEI period 2012-2016. So it can be concluded that H3 is rejected.
d) Return On Equity (ROE)
Return On Equity showed positive regression coefficient value of 0.419515 and probability value of t test bigger than specified significance level that is 5% (0.3018 > 0.05). It shows that Return On Equity (ROE) does not affect the stock price of Pharmaceutical sub-sector listed on the BEI period 2012-2016. So it can be concluded that H4 is rejected.

e) Earning Per Share (EPS)
Earning Per Share variable found to have positive regression coefficient value 0.000419 and the probability value of t test is smaller than the specified significance level that is 5% (0.0000 < 0.05). It shows that Earning Per Share (EPS) has a positive and significant effect on stock prices of Pharmaceutical sub-companies listed on the BEI period 2012-2016. So it can be concluded that H5 is accepted.

F Test
The F test is performed to determine whether the independent variable (X): Current Ratio (CR), Debt to Equity Ratio (DER), Total Assets Turnover (TATO), Return on Equity (ROE), and Earning Per Share (EPS) influential significantly to the dependent variable (Y): share price with a significance level of 0.05. The following hypothesis testing is performed simultaneously based on the output of E-views 9:

Based on the output table 20, the results of the F test or simultaneous coefficient test simultaneously (together) above shows that F-statistic of 8.9271 with significance below 0.05. It can be concluded that together with Current Ratio, Debt to Equity Ratio, Total Assets Turnover, Return on Equity, and Earning Per Share have significant effect on stock price. So it can be concluded that H6 is accepted.

CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS
Conclusions
1) Test results show that Current Ratio has a coefficient of 0.023441 and significance of 0.3588 > 0.05. The results obtained show that CR is not influential and positive on the stock price of Sub-Pharmaceutical companies listed on the BEI period 2012-2016, so it can be concluded that the hypothesis that the Current Ratio positive effect on stock prices rejected.

2) The test results show that Debt to Equity Ratio has a coefficient value of 0.543079 and the significance of 0.0413 > 0.05. The results obtained show that DER has no effect and positive on stock price of Pharmaceutical Sub-Sector listed on BEI period 2012-2016, so it can be concluded that the hypothesis stating that Debt to Equity Ratio negatively affect the stock price is rejected.

3) Test results show that Total Assets Turnover has a coefficient of -0.012021 and significance of 0.9721 > 0.05. The results obtained show TATO has no effect and negatively on the stock price of Pharmaceutical Sub-Sector companies listed on the IDX period 2012-2016, so it can be concluded that the hypothesis that the Total Assets Turnover positive effect on stock prices rejected.

4) Test results show that Return On Equity has a coefficient of 0.419515 and significance of 0.3018 > 0.05. The results obtained show the ROE has no effect and positive on the stock price of Pharmaceutical Sub-Sector companies listed in the period 2012-2016, so it can be concluded that the hypothesis that Return on Equity positive effect on stock prices rejected.

5) Test results show that Earning Per Share has a coefficient value of 0.0004 and significance of 0.001 < 0.05. The result shows that EPS has positive and significant influence to stock price of Pharmaceutical Sub-Sector listed on BEI period 2012-2016, so it can be concluded that the hypothesis which stated that Earning Per Share have positive effect on stock price is accepted.

6) F-statistic value of 8.9271 with probability of 0.000017 less than 0.05 so it can be concluded that all independent variables (Current Ratio, Debt to Equity Ratio, Total Assets Turnover, Return on Equity and
Earning Per Share) jointly significant effect on stock prices of Pharmaceutical sub-sector listed on the Stock Exchange period 2012-2016.

Suggestions

Based on the conclusions in the discussion, the authors provide the following suggestions:

1) For Pharmaceutical Sub-Sector companies need to improve financial performance in order to increase stock price.
2) Investors and prospective investors can consider the Earning Per Share, from Pharmaceutical Sub-Sector Companies as the main factor before investing, because the ratio in this research proved to have a significant effect on Stock Price.
3) For the next researcher who will examine about similar problems with this research to reduce all the limitations that are as follows:
   a. Researchers only use some financial ratios: liquidity ratio, solvency ratio, activity ratio, profitability ratio, and market ratio are described with indicators of Current Ratio, Debt to Equity Ratio, Total Assets Turn Over, Return on Equity, and Earning Per Share.
   b. Researchers only take samples of 8 companies selected samples conducted by purposive sampling method.
   c. Researchers only use data for 5 years.

With the above limitations, then to obtain better results researcher further suggested other researcher:

1) Researchers can use all the variables contained in financial ratios, with a more complete indicator so as to represent and support subsequent research.
2) Researchers are advised to add other factors such as macro conditions such as interest rates, inflation rate, foreign exchange rates, political and social situation and so forth.
3) Researchers should add more years, in order to know the problem more clearly and strengthen previous studies.
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